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Goal of this Talk

To open up our thinking about what the essential architectural features of our network are, how these features combine to provide robustness (and its dual, fragility), and how the universal architectural features we find in both technological and biological networks effect Internet robustness.
Premise

Biological and advanced technological systems are robust and evolvable even in the face of large changes in environment and system components, yet can simultaneously be extremely fragile to small perturbations.

This Robust Yet Fragile (RYF) complexity is found wherever we look. Remarkably, a key feature of such RYF-complex systems is that it is the same universal architectural features that makes these systems robust and evolvable also creates severe, even catastrophic fragilities to tail events.
Thesis

Advanced technological networks and biology exhibit striking similarity (convergence) at “higher” levels of organization.

As such there is a lot we as network engineers can learn from the study of networking in other domains. This is particularly true when thinking about how protocols are designed and deployed, and why some are notoriously hard to change.

So what are Robustness, Fragility, and Complexity, and how can they inform design and operation of our networks?
BTW, what is a Cell/…/Ecosystem?

- A cell (tissue, organ, organism, ecosystem) is a network of compute and storage devices which is orchestrated by a set of elaborate multi-layer/multi-scale control systems.
  - Sound familiar?

- Design Principles of Biological Systems
  - Small set of building blocks or circuits (network motifs)
  - Robustness (insensitivity) to component/environment variability
  - Modularity
  - Suggests a deeper connection that can unify our understanding of biological and technological systems

- BTW, too abstract for Internet engineering?
  - Might think again…
  - See “IT’S ALIVE! IT’S ALIVE! Google's secretive Omega cloud acts like living thing – ‘Biological’ signals ripple through massive cluster management monster”
    - [http://www.theregister.co.uk/2013/11/04/google_living_omega_cloud/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2013/11/04/google_living_omega_cloud/)
  - SDN, NFV, {open,cloud}stack,…

- How is this related to complexity?
Complexity is about Creating Robustness

“In our view, however, complexity is most succinctly discussed in terms of functionality and its robustness. Specifically, *we argue that complexity in highly organized systems arises primarily from design strategies intended to create robustness to uncertainty in their environments and component parts.*”

Complexity is not Inherently “Bad”

Increasing number of policies, protocols, configurations and interactions (well, and code)
Another way to look at the CR “Frontier”

Theorem: $C \leq \frac{1}{R}$

Robustness

Biology and technology

“Optimal Frontier”

Physics

Complexity

Original slide courtesy John Doyle
So what are Robustness and Fragility?

- **Definition:** A *property* of a *system* is **robust** if it is *invariant* with respect to a *set of perturbations*, up to some limit
  - Robustness is the preservation of a certain property in the presence of uncertainty in components or the environment
  - Systems Biology: Biological systems are designed such that their important functions are insensitive to the naturally occurring variations in their parameters.
    - Limits the number of designs that can actually work in the real environment

- **Fragility** is the opposite of robustness
  - If you're fragile you depend on 2nd order effects (acceleration) and the “harm” curve is concave
  - A little more on this later…

- A system can have a *property* that is **robust** to one set of perturbations and yet **fragile** for a different *property* and/or perturbation → the system is **Robust Yet Fragile**
  - Or the system may collapse if it experiences perturbations above a certain threshold (K-fragile)

- For example, a possible **RYF tradeoff** is that a system with high efficiency (i.e., using minimal system resources) might be unreliable (i.e., fragile to component failure) or hard to evolve
  - Another example: VRRP provides robustness to failure of a router/interface, but introduces fragilities in the protocol/implementation
  - Complexity/Robustness Spirals
Robustness is a Generalized System Feature

- **Scalability** is robustness to changes to the size and complexity of a system as a whole

- **Evolvability** is robustness of lineages to changes on various (usually long) time scales

- Other system features cast as robustness
  - **Reliability** is robustness to component failures
  - **Efficiency** is robustness to resource scarcity
  - **Modularity** is robustness to component rearrangements
Fragility and Scaling are Related

- A bit of a formal description of fragility
  - Let \( z \) be some stress level, \( p \) some property, and
  - Let \( H(p,z) \) be the (negative valued) harm function
  - Then for the fragile the following must hold
    - \( H(p,nz) < nH(p,z) \) for \( 0 < nz < K \)

- For example, a coffee cup on a table suffers non-linearly more from large deviations \( (H(p, nz)) \) than from the cumulative effect of smaller events \( (nH(p,z)) \)
  - So the cup is damaged far more by tail events than those within a few \( \sigma \) of the mean
  - Too theoretical? Perhaps, but consider: ARP storms, micro-loops, congestion collapse, AS 7007, ...
  - BTW, nature requires this property
    - Consider: jumping off something 1 foot high 30 times vs. jumping off something 30 feet high once

- When we say something scales like \( O(n^2) \), what we mean is the damage to the network has constant acceleration (2) for weird enough \( n \) (e.g., outside say, 5 \( \sigma \))
  - Again, ARP storms, congestion collapse, AS 7007, DDOS, ... \( \rightarrow \) non-linear damage

Idea courtesy Nassim Taleb, see [http://www.fooledbyrandomness.com/](http://www.fooledbyrandomness.com/)
Understanding RYF is The Challenge

• Turns out that managing/understanding RYF behavior is the most essential challenge in technology, society, politics, ecosystems, medicine, etc. This means…
  • Understanding Universal Architectural Principles
  • Managing spiraling complexity/fragility
  • Not predicting what is likely or typical
  • But rather understanding what is catastrophic (though perhaps rare)
  • understanding the hidden nature of complexity

• BTW, it is much easier to create the robust features than it is to prevent the fragilities
  • In addition, there are poorly understood “conservation laws” at work

• Universal Architectural Principles?
  • Let’s quickly look at a few
Universal Architectural Building Blocks

- What we have learned is that there are *universal architectural building blocks* found in systems that scale and are evolvable. These include:
  - RYF complexity
  - Bowtie/Hourglass architectures
  - Protocol Based Architectures
  - Massively distributed with *robust* control loops
    - Contrast optimal control loops and hop-by-hop control
  - Highly layered
    - But with layer violations, e.g., Internet, overlay virtualization
  - ...
Bowties 101

Constraints that Deconstrain
Schematic of a “Layer”

For example, the reactions and metabolites of core metabolism, e.g., ATP metabolism, Krebs/Citric Acid Cycle, … form a “metabolic knot”. That is, ATP is a Universal Carrier for cellular energy.

1. Processes L-1 information and/or raw material flows into a “standardized” format (the L+1 abstraction)
2. Provides plug-and-play modularity for the layer above
3. Provides robustness but at the same time fragile to attacks against/using the standardized interface

But Wait a Second
Anything Look Familiar?

Bowtie Architecture

Hourglass Architecture
The Nested Bowtie/Hourglass Architecture of the Internet

Layering of Control

HTTP Bowtie
Input: Ports, Datagrams, Connections
Output (abstraction): REST

TCP/UDP Bowtie
Input: IP Packets
Output (abstraction): Ports, Datagrams, Connections

Flows within Layers

HTTP(S) → REST

TCP/UDP

IP Packets → Connections

Datagrams → Ports
NDN Hourglass Architecture

See Named Data Networking, http://named-data.net/
In Practice Things are More Complicated

So... Biology vs. the Internet

**Similarities**

- Evolvable architecture
- Robust yet fragile
- *Layering, modularity*
- Hourglass with bowties
- *Dynamics*
- *Feedback*
- Distributed/decentralized
- *Not* scale-free, edge-of-chaos, self-organized criticality, etc

**Differences**

- Metabolism
- Materials and energy
- **Autocatalytic feedback**
- Feedback complexity
- Development and regeneration
- > 3B years of evolution

An Autocatalytic Reaction is one in which at least one of the reactants is also a product. The rate equations for autocatalytic reactions are fundamentally non-linear.
Summary/Conclusions

- Robust systems “might be” intrinsically hard to understand
  - RYF complexity is an inherent property of complex technology
  - Software (e.g., SDN, NFV, Cloud, …) exacerbates the situation
  - And the Internet has reached an unprecedented level of complexity…

- Nonetheless, many of our goals for the Internet architecture revolve around how to achieve robustness…
  - which requires a deep understanding of the necessary interplay between complexity and robustness, modularity, feedback, and fragility
    - which is neither accidental nor superficial
  - Rather, architecture arises from “designs” to cope with uncertainty in environment and components

- Understanding these universal architectural features will help us achieve the scalability and evolvability (operability, understandability) we’re seeking from the Internet architecture today
  - Multi-disciplinary approaches (e.g., Systems Biology) provide a template of how we might go about this.
Q&A

Thanks!